Message

From: Kraft, Andrew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=4A94A4F199B247778ABB02285A51B927-KRAFT, ANDREW]

Sent: 7/9/2013 1:59:53 PM

To: Vulimiri, Suryanarayana [Vulimiri.Sury@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: FA appendix?

Attachments: FormaldehydeAppendixdraft070113forREVIEW.docx

From: Vulimiri, Suryanarayana

Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 7:53 AM

To: Kraft, Andrew **Cc:** Glenn, Barbara

Subject: FW: FA appendix?

Hi Andrew,

Sorry, I forgot to cc you on the FA issues I sent out to the Genotoxicity Disciplinary Reviewers. Here is the trail of mails. I will send the other ones to you and Barbara soon.

I will check the NAS responses and make any changes that are required. Can you send me the Appendix D (you may have sent earlier, but just in case I missed it)

Then I will start on the responses to Public Comments.

Sury

From: Vulimiri, Suryanarayana

Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 5:16 PM

To: Gibbons, Catherine; Keshava, Nagalakshmi

Cc: Glenn, Barbara; Sonawane, Bob; Guyton, Kate; Pachkowski, Brian

Subject: RE: FA appendix?

Hi Catherine,

Thanks for asking the clarification. Here are some specifics

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Hope this information will be helpful. Let me know if you need any information.

I noticed that the Genetox draft included in the appendix has some comments. If you would like to look at it as a separate document, without comments I can send it to you all. Let me know.

Sury

From: Gibbons, Catherine

Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 4:32 PM

To: Vulimiri, Suryanarayana; Keshava, Nagalakshmi

Cc: Glenn, Barbara; Sonawane, Bob; Guyton, Kate; Pachkowski, Brian

Subject: RE: FA appendix?

Hi Sury, thanks, I guess you know we do have the appendices now. I was just wondering if you could VERY BRIEFLY indicate what sections of YOUR genetox section were written for the new draft, after the NAS review, not including formatting or organizational changes. Some of the new content may not directly address the NAS comments, such as new studies added, because there were no comments from the NAS on this to begin with. Just a list of new studies added and if possible indications of any other new material would suffice, no acknowledgement of any of the NAS comments is even necessary. This is not mandatory, I just thought it would be useful to the discussions. The group may not be aware of the controversy surrounding the Swenberg studies, for instance. I can also ask Brian to help with this.

Thank you!

Catherine

From: Vulimiri, Suryanarayana

Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 3:13 PM

To: Gibbons, Catherine; Keshava, Nagalakshmi

Cc: Glenn, Barbara; Sonawane, Bob

Subject: RE: FA appendix?

Hi Catherine,

I am surprised. I thought you already got the genetox draft by July 1^{st} . I have sent the draft two weeks ago to Barbara Glenn. I do not know the management's plan for you.

I guess you would get just the genetox section which is part of the Appendix, but not the entire appendix if I am correct. Of course I do not know the specifics.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Hope this helps. Feel free to ask me if you have any other questions.

Sury

From: Gibbons, Catherine

Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 2:48 PM

To: Keshava, Nagalakshmi; Vulimiri, Suryanarayana

Subject: FA appendix?

Hi, do either of you know when we will get the appendix with the genetox section? Is that supposed to happen today? I'm out tomorrow, so I thought it would be good to get this out before then. I'll ask around here too.

Also, Sury, will you still be able to indicate in this section what changes were made since the pre-NAS draft? It does not have to include specifics, just general sections. I think the group should review it first before they know what sections are written in response to the NAS, but it will be helpful to know this when considering the responses to comments.

Thank you!

Catherine